## WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT COMMISSION

#### SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND

ORDER NO. 20,186

IN THE MATTER OF:		Served May 8, 2023
Application of READY TO GO TRANSPORTATION LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY for a Certificate of Authority Irregular Route	) ) )	Case No. AP-2023-045
Operations	)	

Applicant seeks a certificate of authority to transport passengers in irregular route operations between points in the Metropolitan District, restricted to transportation in vehicles with a seating capacity of less than 16 persons only, including the driver. The application is unopposed.

The Compact, Title II, Article XI, Section 7(a), authorizes the Commission to issue a certificate of authority if it finds that the proposed transportation is consistent with the public interest and that the applicant is fit, willing, and able to perform the proposed transportation properly, conform to the provisions of the Compact, and conform to the rules, regulations, and requirements of the Commission.

Applicant verifies that: (1) applicant owns or leases, or has the means to acquire through ownership or lease, one or more motor vehicles meeting the Commission's safety requirements and suitable for the transportation proposed in this application; (2) applicant owns, or has the means to acquire, a motor vehicle liability insurance policy that provides the minimum amount of coverage required by Commission regulations; and (3) applicant has access to, is familiar with and will comply with the Compact, the Commission's rules, regulations and orders, and Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations as they pertain to transportation of passengers for hire.

Normally, such evidence would establish an applicant's fitness, but applicant has a history of regulatory violations and applicant's co-owner, Tanay Gross, has a history of controlling a company with a history of regulatory violations.

# I. HISTORY OF VIOLATIONS

Applicant held WMATC Certificate of Authority No. 3253 from April 4, 2019, until November 26, 2019, when it was revoked for applicant's failure to maintain a WMATC Insurance Endorsement on file with the Commission as required by Regulation No. 58 and for applicant's failure

to pay a \$100 late insurance fee. This marked the third time within a year that Certificate No. 3253 was suspended for an insurance violation.

The revocation order gave applicant 30 days to surrender Certificate No. 3253 and file a notarized affidavit and supporting photograph(s) verifying removal of WMATC markings from applicant's vehicle(s). Applicant did not comply.

In addition, applicant's co-owner, Tanay Gross, was the owner of DSC Transportation, LLC, when the Commission revoked DSC's WMATC Certificate No. 2855 on July 12, 2016, for failure to maintain a WMATC Insurance Endorsement on file with the Commission as required by Regulation No. 58 and for failure to pay a \$100 late insurance fee.<sup>3</sup> The revocation order gave DSC 30 days to surrender Certificate No. 2855 and file a notarized affidavit and supporting photograph(s) verifying removal of WMATC markings from DSC's vehicle(s), but DSC did not comply.

### II. LIKELIHOOD OF FUTURE COMPLIANCE

When an applicant or a person controlling an applicant has a record of regulatory violations, or a history of controlling companies with such a record, the Commission considers the following factors in assessing the likelihood of applicant's future compliance: (1) the nature and extent of the violations, (2) any mitigating circumstances, (3) whether the violations were flagrant and persistent, (4) whether the controlling party has made sincere efforts to correct past mistakes, and (5) whether the controlling party has demonstrated a willingness and ability to comport with the Compact and rules and regulations thereunder in the future.<sup>4</sup>

The violations listed above were serious enough to warrant revocation of Certification Nos. 3253 and 2855. On the other hand, shortly before filing the instant application, applicant paid all outstanding fees, filed a signed statement asserting that Certificate No. 3253 cannot be located, and filed a notarized statement and supporting photographs evidencing removal of WMATC markings from applicant's vehicle. Furthermore, while the instant application was pending, DSC paid its outstanding \$100 insurance late fee, filed a signed statement asserting that Certificate No. 2855 cannot be located, and filed a signed statement explaining that its vehicle was repossessed.

There is no evidence in the record of post-suspension or post-revocation operations within the Metropolitan District, or other unauthorized operations, by applicant or by DSC.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  In re Ready to Go Transp. Ltd. Liab. Co., No. MP-19-170, Order No. 18,521 (Nov. 26, 2019).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  See In re Ready to Go Transp. Ltd. Liab. Co., No. MP-19-113, Order No. 18,297 (July 31, 2019); In re Ready to Go Transp. Ltd. Liab. Co., No. MP-19-146, Order No. 18,370 (Sept. 16, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In re DSC Transp., LLC, No. MP-16-107, Order No. 16,473 (July 12, 2016).

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  In re People Helping Others Transp. LLC, No. AP-22-071, Order No. 19,864 at 2 (Aug. 16, 2022).

The Commission has found other applicants fit under similar circumstances. 5 Applicant shall serve a 1-year period of probation as a means of ensuring prospective compliance. 6

## III. CONCLUSION

Based on the evidence in this record, and considering the terms of probation and other conditions prescribed herein, the Commission finds that the proposed transportation is consistent with the public interest and that applicant is fit, willing, and able to perform the proposed transportation properly, conform to the provisions of the Compact, and conform to the rules, regulations, and requirements of the Commission.

# THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. That upon applicant's timely compliance with the requirements of this order, Certificate of Authority No. 3253 shall be issued to Ready To Go Transportation Limited Liability Company, 1369 Savannah Street, S.E., #6, Washington, DC 20032-5023.
- 2. That applicant may not transport passengers for hire between points in the Metropolitan District pursuant to this order unless and until a certificate of authority has been issued in accordance with the preceding paragraph.
- 3. That applicant is hereby directed to file the following documents and present its revenue vehicle(s) for inspection within the 180-day maximum permitted in Commission Regulation No. 66: (a) evidence of insurance pursuant to Commission Regulation No. 58; (b) an original and four copies of a tariff or tariffs in accordance with Commission Regulation No. 55; (c) a vehicle list stating the year, make, model, serial number, fleet number, license plate number (with jurisdiction) and seating capacity of each vehicle to be used in revenue operations; (d) a copy of the for-hire vehicle registration card, and a lease as required by Commission Regulation No. 62 if applicant is not the registered owner, for each vehicle to be used in revenue operations; and (e) proof of current safety inspection of said vehicle(s) by or on behalf of the United States Department of Transportation, the State of Maryland, the District of Columbia, or the Commonwealth of Virginia.
- 4. That applicant shall be placed on probation for a period of one year commencing with the issuance of Certificate No. 3253 in accordance with the terms of this order and that a willful violation of the Compact, or of the Commission's rules, regulations or orders thereunder, by applicant during the period of probation shall constitute

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See, e.g., In re Galaxy Limo. Servs., LLC, No. AP-15-099, Order No. 16,044 (Dec. 11, 2015) (timely cessation of affiliate operations, surrender of affiliate certificate, payment of outstanding affiliate fees, confirmation of no vehicle markings); In re Henka Int'l, Inc., t/a Worldwide Tours & Travel, No. AP-03-184, Order No. 8035 (May 27, 2004) (no evidence of post-suspension operations and satisfactory accounting for vehicles and vehicle markings).

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  See Order No. 16,044 (same); Order No. 8035 (same).

grounds for immediate suspension and/or revocation of applicant's operating authority without further proceedings, regardless of the nature and severity of the violation.

5. That the grant of authority herein shall be void and the application shall stand denied upon applicant's failure to timely satisfy the conditions of issuance prescribed herein.

BY DIRECTION OF THE COMMISSION; COMMISSIONERS RICHARD AND LOTT:

Jeffrey M. Lehmann

Executive Director